

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/044,289	SOKOLIC ET AL.	
	Examiner Christopher A. Revak	Art Unit 2131	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** RCE

(1) Christopher A. Revak. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Barbara Courtney. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 January 2007

**Time:** 4:15pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

14,16, and 18-23

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the claims were rejectable under 35 USC 101 in that the specification refers to media and "communications media" and the examiner proposed amending the claims to recite of "storage media" to claim statutory subject matter. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment in order to expedite prosecution..